

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Variation No. 1 CDP 2023-2029
Date: Thursday 2 April 2026 12:51:23

[REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday 2 April 2026 12:38

[REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Variation No. 1 CDP 2023-2029

All,

See email below, can this be acknowledged please?

Kind Regards,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday 2 April 2026 09:37
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Variation No. 1 CDP 2023-2029

Good morning [REDACTED],

You guys are looking for submissions from us on the above variation. But I know that you are not serious: you are just ticking boxes by engaging Fehily and Timoney to do the business! Better off not going to the expense of writing these reports at a huge cost to the taxpayer. However, it is with utter dismay and complete scepticism that I read the Climate Change section of the Non-Technical F-T summary of the Draft SEA Environmental Report SEO code CC3. I quote:

Integrate Climate Change mitigation

measures into every fabric of spatial planning through the restriction of inappropriate development/land-use zoning in flood risk zones, inclusion of green infrastructure as the status quo and the incorporation of suitable SUDS into all developments.

I know the request for submissions is open but I fear that they will be completely ignored as were our objections to the Ennis DC project. So now we have a data centre, actually 6 of them which will increase the carbon emissions from Clare by over 25%, without mitigation. How could this be consistent with the integration of Climate change mitigation measures into spatial planning?

There is a plan to build a floating LNG facility at Cahercon and doubtless this will go ahead too and our inputs will be ignored. You really need to look at the impacts of fracked gas on climate.

The SEA is pointless as there are no measures, key performance indicators just aspirations.

I will make submissions before April the 6th but they will be completely ignored.

We really need to do better.

